

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,361	12/28/2001	Hiroshi Koyama	217095US0	3371
22850	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			AHMAD, NASSER	
	DUKE STREET ANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	V	<b>1</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Andieus Communication	10/028,361	KOYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nasser Ahmad	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the (	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	<u> December 2001</u> .				
, <del></del> .	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition.					
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		ı)-(d) or (f).			
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
•					
Attachment(s)	_		-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/05.</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The displayed values of "a" and "b" in the claims is not described in the application as what do said parameters represent. The specification fails to provide any explanation as to how said values are calculated.

3. For the purpose of this application, the claims have been examined without taking into consideration the above unexplained values of "a" and "b".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1772

5. Claims 1-4 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asazuma (5680720).

Asazuma relates to an optically semi-transmission reflection material comprising a thermoplastic resinous film, wherein the sum total of light ray reflectance (R =30-60%) and transmittance (T=30-70%) (abstract) includes 80-100%. However, Asazuma fails to teach that the (T-R) is less than 50%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Asazuma by providing T-R<50% based on when T=70% and R=30%, the T-R=40%, which is less than 50%.

The film is a multi-layered film as it is a laminate of biaxially stretched (col. 3, lines 55-60) film as the surface layer and a printed layer as the base layer. The film has a thickness of 50-150 micron, which reads on claim 4.

The film contains 0-40% by weight inorganic powder as filler (col. 3, lines 56-60 and col. 4, lines 6-10). The resin material can be polyolefin-based material or polyester (col. 4, lines 16-28). The resin material has a melting point of not less than 132 degrees C. The average particle diameter is 0.5 to 2 microns.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asazuma in view of Bourdelais (6030756).

Asazuma, as discussed above, fails to teach that the filler particle provided microvoid Bourdelais discloses that the microvoid content of the resin film is provided by the presence of filler particle such as titanium dioxide, etc. (col. 6, lines 3-18) provides for improved opacity to the film. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 1772

ordinary skill in the art to utilize Bourdelais' teaching of using filler particles for providing microvoid in the resin film in the invention of Asazuma with the motivation to impart opacity to the film.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-6 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach the claimed orientation magnification of film (claims 5-6) and the use of said film with a liquid crystal display device (claim 13).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. September 4, 2005.